Pour Strips Elimination at the Upper Levels in PT Parking Structures

Presented by Rashid Ahmed, P.E., S.E. Walker Parking Consultants

April 28, 2015



Pour Strips are provided to temporarily isolate the post-tensioned floor system from restraining columns or walls to reduce the effect of volume changes on the structure.





Benefits of eliminating the pour strips

- Pour strips require extra mild reinforcement. Elimination of pour strips minimizes the reinforcement.
- Simplified forming no or fewer re-shoring is required, which frees' up floor space and reduces obstacles for all trades
- No special concrete mixes are required (mixes that require polypropylene fibers)
- Narrower width of traffic topping is required (typically a 6ft. wide traffic topping over the pour strip can be reduced to a 2-ft. wide traffic topping at the construction joint)



Benefits of eliminating the pour strips (cont.)

- Elimination of one sealant joint per strip, plus all the orthogonal joints
- Fewer joints mean less maintenance
- Safer working environment as there is no opening (hole) in the slab
- Potential of saving two weeks in schedule, usually at the end of the project



- Design pour strips as cantilevers to simplify intensive re-shoring operations
- Recommend keeping the pour strips at the first supported level, but eliminate at upper levels



Per Post-Tensioning Manual, 5th edition, figure 5.25:

About 40 percent of shrinkage or creep takes place within the first 28 days



Fig. 5.25 — Approximate proportion of final shrinkage or creep vs. time



CONTRIBUTION OF DIFFERENT FACTORS TO TYPICAL SLAB SHORTENING*

| DESCRIPTION SHRINKAGE | PERCENTAGE % | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| SHRINKAGE | 66 | | | | |
| CREEP | 11 | | | | |
| ELASTIC SHORTENING | 7 | | | | |
| TEMPERATURE | 16 | | | | |
| TOTAL | 100 | | | | |

*For a parking structure in Southern California Source: Restraint Cracks and their Mitigation in Unbonded Post-Tensioned Building Structure By Bijan O. Aalami and Florian G. Barth, Post-Tensioning Institute, 1988





CONTRIBUTION OF DIFFERENT FACTORS TO TYPICAL SLAB SHORTENING WITH A POUR STRIP

| DESCRIPTION | MOVEMENT inch | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 0 TO 28 DAYS BASED ON HALF STRUCTURE | BEYOND 28 DAYS BASED ON FULL STRUCTURE | | | | |
| SHRINKAGE | 0.13 | 0.40 | | | | |
| CREEP | 0.02 | 0.07 | | | | |
| ELASTIC SHORTENING | 0.07 | | | | | |
| TEMPERATURE | | 0.16 | | | | |
| TOTAL | 0.22 | 0.63 | | | | |



In our example the movements are as follow:

- Equivalent movement at each end = 0.95" without pour strip
- Equivalent movement at each end = 0.85" with a pour strip that remains open for 28 days



Pour strip at all tiers





Pour strip at first supported tier only

SAP2000

| | 2.44 | 0.00 | 5.99 | | 5.17 | 0.55 | 5.17 | | 5.99 | 0.03 | 2.44 | |
|----|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|------|------|-------|----|
| * | 0.00 | 2.67 | 0.00 | 6 | 0.00 | 1.78 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 2.72 | 0.00 | × |
| | 4.19 | 0.00 | 6.48 | 5.7 | 5.64 | 0.00 | 5.64 | 5.3 | 6.48 | 0.00 | 4, 19 | 5 |
| * | 0.00 | 2.68 | 0.00 | 6 | 0.00 | 2.19 | 0.00 | 6 | 0.00 | 2.70 | 0.00 | Y |
| | 4.20 | 0.00 | 6.35 | 5.3 | 5.74 | 0.00 | 5.74 | 2.3 | 6.35 | 0.00 | 4.20 | 5 |
| 5 | 0.00 | 2.72 | 0.00 | 9 | 0.00 | 2.11 | 0.00 | 9 | 0.00 | 2.75 | 0.00 | Y |
| | 4.20 | 0.00 | 6.47 | 2.3 | 5.69 | 0.00 | 5.69 | 5.1 | 6.47 | 0.00 | 4.20 | L |
| B | 0.00 | 2.67 | 0.00 | 16 | 0.00 | 2.15 | 0.00 | 16 | 0.00 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 00 |
| i. | 3.27 | 0.00 | 6.82 | 2 | 5.82 | 0.00 | 5.82 | 2. | 6.82 | 0.00 | 3.27 | 2 |
| | 0.58 | 2.92 | 0.00 | 6 | 0.00 | 2.04 | 0.00 | 6 | 0.00 | 2.98 | 0.58 | 2C |
| | | | | | | | | 0. | | | | 4 |

SAP2000 v9.0.8 - File:Frame-3bay_5Story_0.85-0.95 - Longitudinal Reinforcing Area (ACI 318-99) - Kip, in, F Units



Pour strip at all tiers



Pour strip at first supported tier only























Thank You

